





Best Practices, Challenges and Recommendations Summarized

Anshu Dubey

The Papers

- Represent two different perspectives
 - Software engineers working with or studying software development in applications domains
 - The experiences and insights of developers of scientific codes / libraries
- The dominant common themes are
 - open development and community support
 - Tighter interactions among domain scientists and code developers, and developers and their users (which are often domain scientists)
 - Users prefer robust and simple to use codes and platforms
 - Continuous integration is good
 - Sustainability is challenging for many reasons: changing science, changing platforms and technology, and funding are some of the important ones



The Main Points

- Take from the developers side :
 - Rethinking code architecture in terms abstraction that can plug into the technologies under development to combat disruptive hardware changes
 - Migration of composition and extensibility to the runtime
- Findings from studies and workshop
 - The reasons for failure are many, but what succeeds looks broadly similar
 - Takes visionary leadership and a tightly knit central management
 - Tight coupling between scientists, developers and software engineers generally beneficial
 - Adoption of some software process is better than none



General Recommendations

- The Editorial on rules for producing good software lists
 - use existing code/tools where possible
 - code well, be simple and transparent,
 - use your code, nurture your community, promote, find support
 - be satisfied with less than perfection
 - keep the scientific goal in always in your focus



General Recommendations

- Recommendations from Carver et.al emphasize
 - Unit testing and test driven development
 - High level requirements specification and metrics
 - Documentation and code review
 - Abstractions and continuous integration
- Tools to do some of these
 - Code repositories, wikis
 - Issues tracking and build systems
 - Project management, task trackers



Software Sustainability Institute

- Should be vested with authority to guide and oversee functions such as
 - Development and oversight of standardized quality control, testing, regression, and documentation processes
 - A central, common repository
 - A central resource for services and consulting
 - A "think tank," of sorts, where the issues and requirements for sustainability can be debated
 - A software orphanage/retirement center

